Florida Poly. Univ./UFF
FPU Proposal
2019 – CBA - Reopener
June 28, 2019
Page 1 of 6

			ARTICLE 8 ANCE EVALUATIONS
8.1	Gene	eral Principles.	
	(a)	Employees' performance. periodic and formal exchange regarding progress, acc improvement. Performan	This strengthens the University's workforce by providing a ange of information between supervisors and employees omplishments, and when applicable, areas needing the evaluations also provide an opportunity to clarify work and development needs, set goals for the next year, and do reach such goals.
	(b)	Every employee will be fa be maintained to the high	rly evaluated and the integrity of the evaluation process will est degree.
	(c) (b)	dossier, be consistent wi	hallmust fully consider information in the faculty member's h the Evaluation Guidelines, and be consistent with the ot be arbitrary nor capricious.
8.2	Purpo	ose and Scope of Evaluation	
	(a)	such as teaching, research evaluations for academic paddition, all Employees are effective functioning of the members shall not be evalualess they could have be	ns for faculty members focus on performance in functions a, service, and other duties that may be assigned. Annual professionals focus on performance of all assigned duties. In the evaluated based on their contributions to the orderly and the University and their academic department/unit. Faculty that a work they were not assigned on their FARE form the reasonably expected to perform that work in the normal university of their position.
	(b)	performance deficiencies encouraged to accept and	d endeavor to assist the Employee in correcting any reflected in the annual evaluation. Employees are seek such assistance, if needed. The evaluation should also ng year and address progress toward promotion.
8.3		<u>ual Evaluation</u> . Employees a on 8.3(g)	re evaluated at least once annually, except as described in
For t	the Uni	versity	For the UFF
	ander L f Negoti	andback iator	Myles Kim Chief Negotiator
Date			Date

Florida Poly. Univ./UFF FPU Proposal 2019 – __ CBA - Reopener June 28, 2019 Page 2 of 6

	ander La		Myles Kim
For	the Univ	versity	For the UFF
		signed again by the Evaluate to replace the original evalu- receipt of the revised perfor-	or and Employee issue a revised performance evaluate ation. The Evaluator and Employee must acknowled mance evaluation by signing the revised evaluation. The evaluations and would indicate on the original evaluations.
	<u>(f)</u>	with the evaluation appraisal	anged if errors, omissions, or other documentable issuare discovered, the Universityuncovered. Appraisals naturation Review (see 8.6). A changed appraisal shall
	(d)		yee shall sign the appraisal no later than May 1, , and shall be placed in the Employee's personnel file.
	(e) (e)		opraisal), and provide a finalized performance evaluations of the Provost's Office.copy to the Employer
	<u>(d)</u>	and the second of the second o	mployee must acknowledge receipt of the performar valuation. The Evaluator must sign the evaluation a to the Provost's Office.
		4. discuss the evaluation	with the Employee, if Employee chooses to do so.
			d <u>offer to discuss the evaluation</u> with the Employee (the Employee may choose chooses to not discuss
		2. provide a copy of the	Evaluation to the Employee, and;
		1complete the perform	mance evaluation;
	<u>(c)</u>		r, with a copy to the Provost's Office, Employee _ following the end of the evaluation period. the Evaluator must:
	(b)	January of the current year v compelling evidence to the c	ss of the employment start date. <u>Individuals that star</u> vill receive a "Meets Expectations" rating unless ther ontrary. he Evaluation Information Sheet (see <u>Section 8.7) tos</u>

Date

Date

Florida Poly. Univ./UFF FPU Proposal 2019 – __ CBA - Reopener June 28, 2019 Page 3 of 6

1 2 3 4 5		(e) (g)	resigned or that have an expiring en the faculty member and the Provost	re not required for faculty members that have apployment contract. Upon mutual agreement of or his/her designee, a performance evaluation article. The Evaluator may choose to not provided a sole discretion.
6 7 8	8.4	profes	•	ition to the annual evaluation, the academic ry <u>evaluation</u> appraisal after ninety (90) days or
9 10		(a)	In the absence of a completed premployee will default to a "satisfactor	robationary <u>evaluation</u> appraisal, a probationary
11 12 13 14		(b)	30 and January 305, the employee	ionary period ends between <u>October 1</u> September e's immediately following annual <u>performance</u> If skipped, the employee shallmust be evaluated praisal period.
15	8.5	<u>Evalua</u>	ators.	
16 17 18 19 20 21		(a)	assigned personnel management resp When the evaluator is a Division Dir context from a department chair fo	nent Chair or Division Director that has been onsibility by the Provost for the Employee's area sector, the Division Director will seek advice and reach of the faculty members in the unit. The Counselor are evaluated by their immediate
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30		(b)	Evaluators, and if the Faculty Repremembers of senior rank (Associate In Assembly. The purpose of the revision consistent standard to all faculty many reviews prepared by Department Change of panel discussions. This review many	an evaluation review panel which will consist of sentative Council chooses to do so, two faculty Professor or Professor) appointed by the Faculty ew is to ensure the Evaluators have applied a sembers when conducting the evaluations. The airs or Division Directors may change as a result produce changes in evaluations. The Provost will ew panel. All members of the evaluation review ty of the review process.
31	8.6	<u>Evalua</u>	ation Review.	
	For th	e Univ	ersity	For the UFF
		nder La Negotia	ndback ator	Myles Kim Chief Negotiator

Date

Date

Florida Poly. Univ./UFF FPU Proposal 2019 – __ CBA - Reopener June 28, 2019 Page 4 of 6

		nder La Negotia			Myles Kim Chief Negotiator
	For th	ie Univ	ersity		For the UFF
26 27 28		<u>(b)</u>		<u>Evaluator du</u>	sclosed in the evaluation will be provided to the ring the evaluation in appraisal meeting offered
22 23 24 25	8.8	Other (a)	7.1		ed to evaluate a faculty member other than that sier will be disclosed to the faculty member in
17 18 19 20 21	8.7	contra recom annual	ct in Appendix B. The F mended changes to the	Faculty Represe information sh communicate d	culty Activity Report format is attached to this entative Council may provide the Provost with neet's format no later than December 1 on an ecisions on changes in the format to the Faculty ppendix B).
14 15 16		(d)(c)			may take place via phone or other agreed upon er's discretion if takes place after the date spring
9 10 11 12 13		(c)	or on May 15 unless be professional mutually a meeting after that date.	oth the Provosi gree to schedu The administr	bove shallmust take place no later than prior to t's Office and the faculty member or academic le the meeting after May 15.chooses to have a ation shall inform employees of their right to a equest an evaluation review.
5 6 7 8		(b)	professional may reque higher level in their lin	est, in writing, ne of authority	days of receipt of the evaluation, the academic a meeting with the administrator at the next to discuss concerns regarding the evaluation iscussions with the evaluator.
1 2 3 4		(a)	member may request a the Provost or Provost	review, in writ 's designated ac	r days of receipt of the evaluation, the faculty ring, with the Provost's Office to discuss (with dministrator) concerns regarding the evaluation iscussions with the evaluator.

Date

Date

Florida Poly. Univ./UFF FPU Proposal 2019 – __ CBA - Reopener June 28, 2019 Page 5 of 6

1		<u>(c)</u>	_When information other than that included in the faculty member's dossier is used to
2			evaluate a faculty member, that information shall be shared with the faculty member
3			upon request and prior to an Evaluation Review meeting if such a meeting is requested
4			(see 8.6). Anonymous information, other including than Student Assessments of
5			Instruction (SAIs), shallmust not be used judiciously and, if the information bears any
6			relevant consequence, must be disclosed to evaluate to the faculty members.
7		(a)(d)	Information from outside the evaluation period shallmust not be considered.
8 9	8.8 <u>8.9</u>		ation Guidelines. Evaluation Criteria. The administration will develop a set of evaluation ines for each of the faculty ranks that indicates performance characteristics appropriate
10		_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
11			h rating for teaching, scholarship, and service. The guidelines will also indicate how an
12			l "rating' will be determined. This overall "rating" shall be weighted by effort as defined
13			FARE form for faculty members. For example, if a faculty member were assigned the characteristic form on the FARE form, the research part of their evaluation shall
14			bute 20% of their overall "rating." The guidelines for a review period will be provided
15			academic departments by September 15 of the year prior to the beginning of the review
16			and the departments will provide comment on the guidelines on or before November
17			that year. The comments provided shallmust be approved by majority vote of the
18			ment. The vote shallmust take place anonymously. By In early January 15, the review
19		-	tion panel will consider the department recommendations and provide a
20			mendation to the Provost on evaluation guidelines to be used for the next review cycle.
21			<u>University university</u> shallmust provide the final guidelines to faculty before the review
22			l begins.
23		Annua	al evaluations for February 1, 2018 through January 31, 2019, period will use the
24			tion guidelines that were used for the 2017-2018 evaluations.
25		Annua	al evaluations for February 1, 2019 through January 31, 2020 period will use the
26		evaluat	tion guidelines that were used for the 2017-2018 evaluations. The university shallmust
27		provid	le the final guidelines to employees before classes begin for the Fallfall 2019 semester.
	For th	a Univ	rersity For the UFF
	ror th	e Univ	ersity for the Upp

Chief Negotiator

Chief Negotiator

Date

Date

Myles Kim

Alexander Landback

1 The scale for the evaluations is provided in the following table:

EVALUATION KEY		
Unsatisfactory	Performance that is clearly substandard.	
Needs Improvement	Performance that is below a reasonable expectation for the person's job description.	
Meets Expectations	Performance is sound and within reasonable expectations	

8.10 Evaluation File.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- ()(b) All employees may provide a written response and/or comments regarding their evaluation and have it added to the evaluation file within sixty (60) days of the receipt of the evaluation. All written material used to produce a performance evaluation shallmust be included in the evaluation file.

For the University

For the UFF

Alexander Landback
Chief Negotiator

Date

Date